# REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2007



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GRAYSON COUNTY SHERIFF

### For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Grayson County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2007. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees increased by \$18,031 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$46,774 as of December 31, 2007. Revenues increased by \$77,399 from the prior year and expenditures increased by \$59,368.

#### **Report Comment:**

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Reconciliations

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

CONTENTS	PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	15



The Honorable Gary Logsdon, Grayson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Rick Clemons, Grayson County Sheriff Members of the Grayson County Fiscal Court

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the Sheriff of Grayson County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2007. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated August 20, 2008 on our consideration of the Grayson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



The Honorable Gary Logsdon, Grayson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Rick Clemons, Grayson County Sheriff Members of the Grayson County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Reconciliations

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Grayson County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

**Auditor of Public Accounts** 

August 20, 2008

## GRAYSON COUNTY RICK CLEMONS, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

#### For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

#### Revenues

Federal Fees For Services - Corps of Engineers - Lake Patrol		\$ 27,750
State Grants		12,750
State - Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund		29,651
State Fees For Services		65,213
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Fines and Fees Collected	\$ 23,056	22.556
Court Ordered Payments	500	23,556
Board of Education - School Resource Officer		56,027
Fiscal Court		12,907
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		3,392
Commission On Taxes Collected		273,705
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	5,070	
Advertising Fees	12,840	
Serving Papers	46,015	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	4,680	
10% Add-on Fees	 46,075	114,680
Other:		
Reimbursement	6,070	
Prosecutor Safety Program	3,500	
Miscellaneous	4,804	14,374
	,	, .
Interest Earned		4,710
Borrowed Money - State Advancement		 196,508
Total Revenues		835,223

#### **GRAYSON COUNTY**

#### RICK CLEMONS, SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Year Ended December 31, 2007 (Continued)

#### Expenditures

Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay: Personnel Services-			
	\$	260 271	
Deputies' Salaries	Ф	260,371	
Clerk's Salaries		63,838	
Bailiff's Salaries		18,927	
Constible's Salaries		6,755	
Materials and Supplies-		40.061	
Office Materials and Supplies		40,061	
Uniforms		20,028	
Auto Expense-		40.240	
Gasoline		40,210	
Maintenance and Repairs		36,005	
Other Charges-		4 700	
Conventions and Travel		1,538	
Dues		589	
Postage		6,975	
Bond		5,666	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits		1,745	
Ammunition And Cell Phone		4,330	
Computer Service		2,519	
Transporting Fugitives		658	
Miscellaneous		2,511	
Capital Outlay-			
Office Equipment			
Vehicles		9,790	
Debt Service - State Advancement		196,508	
Total Expenditures			\$ 719,024
Net Revenues			116,199
Less: Statutory Maximum		68,578	
Training Incentive Benefit		847	 69,425
Excess Fees Due County for 2007			46,774
Payment to Fiscal Court - February 15, 2008			 46,009
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit			\$ 765

### GRAYSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2007

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at December 31 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for 2007 services
- Reimbursements for 2007 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in 2007

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

GRAYSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2007 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan that covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members.

Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 13.19 percent for the first six months and 16.17 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 28.21 percent for the first six months and 33.87 percent for the last six months of the year.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Grayson County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

#### Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Grayson County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2007, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

GRAYSON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2007 (Continued)

#### Note 4. Drug Fund

The Sheriff maintained a Drug Fund for drug related receipts and expenditures. The balance of the fund as of January 1, 2007 was \$3,075. During the calendar year receipts of the drug fund were \$4,003 and expenditures were \$2,252 resulting in an ending balance of \$4,826 as of December 31, 2007.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Gary Logsdon, Grayson County Judge/Executive The Honorable Rick Clemons, Grayson County Sheriff Members of the Grayson County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the Grayson County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated August 20, 2008. The Sheriff's financial statement is prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Grayson County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However as discussed below, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with the regulatory basis of accounting such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control over financial reporting. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Reconciliations



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statement will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

#### **Compliance And Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Grayson County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2007, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.


This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Grayson County Fiscal Court, and the Kentucky Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

August 20, 2008



## GRAYSON COUNTY RICK CLEMONS, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY AND MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties Over Receipts And Reconciliations

During our review of internal controls, we noted the following lack of segregation of duties:

• The Sheriff's bookkeeper collected money, prepared the deposits, prepared daily cash checkouts, prepared bank reconciliations, posted to receipts and disbursements ledgers, and prepared financial reports

No compensating controls were documented to offset this control deficiency. Therefore, the control deficiency described above is a significant deficiency and material weakness. The Sheriff should segregate duties or implement compensating controls such as the following in order to offset the internal control deficiency noted above.

- The Sheriff could periodically compare a bank deposit to the daily checkout sheet and then compare the daily checkout sheet to the receipts ledger. Any differences should be reconciled. He should document this by initialing the dank deposit, daily checkout sheet, and receipts ledger.
- The Sheriff could compare the quarterly financial report to the receipts and disbursements ledgers for accuracy. Any differences should be reconciled. He should document this by initialing the quarterly financial report.
- The Sheriff could periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled. He should document this by initialing the bank reconciliation and the balance in the checkbook.

These examples of compensating controls are not meant to be all-inclusive, and the Sheriff could modify these or add others that would provide mitigating controls.

Sheriff's Response: None.